

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	Fil	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/606,426	09/606,426 06/28/2000		RICHARD HILICKI	HEH-2 6100	
1473	7590	08/14/2006		EXAMINER	
FISH & NE	AVE IP	GROUP	HENDERSON, MARK T		
ROPES & G	RAY LLP				
1251 AVEN	JE OF TH	IE AMERICAS FL (	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK	, NY 10	020-1105	3722	· <del></del>	

DATE MAILED: 08/14/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Comments	09/606,426	HILICKI ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Mark T. Henderson	3722					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING E  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tire  will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 L	December 2005.						
· <u>-</u>	<i>,</i> —						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-6,8,10-33,35,37,39-58,60,61,63 ar	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-6,8,10-33,35,37,39-58,60,61,63 and 65-70</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) 1-6,33,35,37,39-44,58,60,61,63 and	Claim(s) <u>1-6,33,35,37,39-44,58,60,61,63 and 65-70</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) 8, 10-32,45-57 is/are objected to.	•						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	. ,	)-(d) or (f).					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
•	2. Copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	_ , , ,						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
		•					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail D  Notice of Informal F	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	Trans. V					

## **DETAILED OFFICE ACTION**

### **Faxing of Responses to Office Actions**

In order to reduce pendency and avoid potential delays, TC 3700 is encouraging FAXing or responses to Office Actions directly into the Group at (571) 273-8300. This practice may be used for filing papers, which require a fee by applicants who authorize charges to a PTO deposit account. Please identify the examiner and art unit at the top of your cover sheet. Papers submitted via FAX into TC 3700 will be promptly forwarded to the examiner.

1. Claims 1, 33, 44, and 58 have been amended for further examination. Claims 69 and 70 have been added. Claims 7, 9, 34, 36, 38, 59, 62, and 64 have been canceled.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 09/606,426 Page 3

Art Unit: 3722

2. Claims 1, 35, 37, 43, 44, 61, 63, and 68-70 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by D'Andrea (5,031,935).

D'Andrea discloses in Fig. 1-3, a book and method of making a book having a theme comprising: a first book cover (12); a second book cover (14) joined to the first book cover by spine (not shown); wherein the exterior of the first book cover (Fig. 1) defines one aperture (11) not cut all the way through such that a support is provided (Col. 3, lines 32-35); wherein a theme (Col. 3, lines 10-19) is displayed on the first cover (see Fig. 1); a plurality of sheets fastened together and attached to the covers (See Fig. 2); wherein at least one of the plurality of sheets provides pre-printed information (Col. 3, lines 50 and 51) related to the theme; and wherein at least one of the sheets can be blank (Col. 4, lines 1-11); wherein the covers can be made from card stock (Col. 3, lines 35-40).

In regards to Claim 1, wherein the book is "capable of displaying one or more coins related to the theme", and a "coin receivable" aperture, a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In a claim drawn to a process of making, the intended use must result in a manipulative difference as compared to the prior art. Therefore, the book of D'Andrea is capable of displaying one or more coins, and having apertures that can receive coins.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 2-6, 39-42, 60, 65-67 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over D'Andrea.

D'Andrea discloses a book comprising all the elements as claimed in Claims 1 and 44, and as set forth above. However, D'Andrea does not disclose a book theme relating to geographic region, state, province, countries; wherein the theme related information is a story, non-fictional, or fictional; wherein an aperture is aligned with the cover apertures.

In regards to the Claims 1-6 and 40-42, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate any desired indicia on the cover and sheets, since it would only depend on the intended use of the assembly and the desired information to be displayed. Further, it has been held that when the claimed printed matter is not functionally related to the substrate, it will not distinguish the invention from the prior art in terms of patentability. The fact that the content of the printed matter placed on the substrate may render the device more convenient by providing an end user with a specific type of information document or form does not alter the functional relationship. Mere support by the

substrate for the printed matter is not the kind of functional relationship necessary for patentability. Therefore, it would have been obvious to place any type of indicia on the cover and sheets, since applicant has not disclosed the criticality of having particular indicia, and the invention would operate equally as well with any type of indicia.

In regards to Claim 39, it would have been obvious to place the sheet apertures at any desired location, since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to place the sheet apertures at any desired location since applicant has not disclosed the criticality of having the apertures at a particular location, and would function equally as well at any location.

In regards to Claim 60, it would have been obvious to one having skill in the art to construct the aperture in any desirable size, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the size of a component. A change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. Therefore, it would have been to construct the aperture with any desirable dimension, since applicant has not disclosed the criticality of having a particular size, and invention would function equally as well if constructed in any desirable size.

4. Claims 33 and 58 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over D'Andrea in view of "ISBN 0-439-20822".

D'Andrea discloses a book comprising all the elements as claimed in Claims 1 and 44, and as set forth above. D'Andrea further discloses wherein display items are affixed to the

interior of the covers (see Fig. 2) by "any conventional means" (Col. 4, lines 21-23). However, D'Andrea does not disclose wherein the affixing means is an aperture (not cut through the cover as defined by applicant).

ISBN discloses in Fig. 1-5, a book cover (A) wherein at least the interior of the cover defines apertures (C) in which an item can be attached to.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify D'Andrea's book cover with an interior item receiving aperture as taught by ISBN for providing an alternative affixing means in which to secure an item to the cover.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 8, 10-32, and 45-57 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/606,426 Page 7

Art Unit: 3722

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed on December 6, 2005 have been fully considered but they are

not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument's that the prior art does not disclose an aperture on

the exterior of a book cover wherein the aperture is not cut all the way through the cover, the

examiner submits that the D'Andrea reference is now used for disclosing an aperture on an

exterior cover wherein the aperture is similar to a recess.

**Contact Information** 

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Mark T. Henderson whose telephone number is (571) 272-4477, and

informal fax number is (571) 273-4477. The examiner can be reached on Monday-Friday from

9:00AM to 3:45PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the

Examiner Supervisor, Monica Carter, can be reached at (571) 272-4475. The formal fax number

for TC 3700 is (571) 273-8300.

MTH

August 3, 2006

MONICA CARTER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EVAMUAGE